## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

4:12CR3082

VS.

GARY W. HARTLEY,

Defendant.

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Defendant has moved to continue the pretrial motion deadline, (filing no. 17), because the parties are engaged in plea discussions and defense counsel needs additional time to fully review the discovery received before deciding if pretrial motions should be filed. The motion to continue is unopposed. Based on the showing set forth in the motion, the court finds the motion should be granted. Accordingly,

## IT IS ORDERED:

- 1) Defendant's motion to continue, (filing no. 17), is granted.
- 2) Pretrial motions and briefs shall be filed on or before December 17, 2012.
- 3) Trial of this case is continued until January 29, 2013.
- The ends of justice served by granting the motion to continue outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, and the additional time arising as a result of the granting of the motion, the time between today's date and January 29, 2013, shall be deemed excludable time in any computation of time under the requirements of the Speedy Trial Act, because despite counsel's due diligence, additional time is needed to adequately prepare this case for trial and failing to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B).

November 17, 2012.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Cheryl R. Zwart United States Magistrate Judge